Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/926,546	DENKO, JACQUES
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph C. Merek	3727
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Joseph C. Merek</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>John Preta</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>24 June 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 11, 33 and 34		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Sand Mill		
(Examined/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that the independt claims would be amended to include the limitation that one fo the bars on the flap is located between the flap and one of the impermable walls at a positon below the opening of the container. This structre was added to overcome the Swiss reference 171,901.